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Weight loss for women with and without polycystic ovary syndrome following a very low-calorie diet in a community-based setting with trained facilitators for 12 weeks
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**Background:** Polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS) affects between 2% and 26% of reproductive-age women in the UK, and accounts for up to 75% of anovulatory infertility. The major symptoms include ovarian disruption, hyperandrogenism, insulin resistance, and polycystic ovaries. Interestingly, at least half of the women with PCOS are obese, with the excess weight playing a pathogenic role in the development and progress of the syndrome. The first-line treatment option for overweight/obese women with PCOS is diet and lifestyle interventions; however, optimal dietary guidelines are missing. Although many different dietary approaches have been investigated, data on the effectiveness of very low-calorie diets on PCOS are very limited.

**Materials and methods:** The aim of this paper was to investigate how overweight/obese women with PCOS responded to LighterLife Total, a commercial very low-calorie diet, in conjunction with group behavioral change sessions when compared to women without PCOS (non-PCOS).

**Results:** PCOS (n=508) and non-PCOS (n=508) participants were matched for age (age ±1 unit) and body mass index (body mass index ±1 unit). A 12-week completers analysis showed that the total weight loss did not differ significantly between PCOS (n=137) and non-PCOS participants (n=137) (−18.5±6.6 kg vs −19.4±5.7 kg, \(P=0.190\)). Similarly, the percentage of weight loss achieved by both groups was not significantly different (PCOS 17.1%±5.6% vs non-PCOS 18.2%±4.4%, \(P=0.08\)).

**Conclusion:** Overall, LighterLife Total could be an effective weight-loss strategy in overweight/obese women with PCOS. However, further investigations are needed to achieve a thorough way of understanding the physiology of weight loss in PCOS.
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**Introduction**

Polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS) is one of the most common female endocrine disorders, affecting 2%–26% of women of reproductive age (12–45 years old) in the UK,\(^1\)\(^3\) and is suggested to be responsible for up to 75% of cases of infertility caused by anovulation.\(^4\) While the exact etiology and pathophysiology of PCOS is complicated, the resultant hormonal imbalance due to both elevated androgen levels and increased insulin secretion in combination with environmental (eg, lifestyle) and genetic factors collectively contribute to the etiology of this syndrome.\(^5\)\(^6\) PCOS has been associated with a variety of reproductive and skin disorders and also with an adverse metabolic profile including systemic dysfunctions, such as insulin resistance with compensatory hyperinsulinemia, hypertension, and dyslipidemia.\(^7\)\(^8\)
Many studies have reported that there may be a genetic susceptibility to the development of PCOS. For example, Franks et al\(^9\) reported that a genetic polymorphism may be responsible for the upregulated insulin metabolism among PCOS patients. Moreover, between 50% and 87% of first-degree relatives of women with PCOS will present with some symptoms to varying degrees,\(^10\) including but not limited to hypertriglyceridemia, hyperinsulinemia, and type 2 diabetes.\(^11\)

Increased weight, and central obesity in particular, play an important role in the development of PCOS, and as such the majority of women with this syndrome are either overweight or obese.\(^12\)–\(^14\) Although the exact pathophysiological interactions between excess weight and the clinical expression of PCOS remain to be elucidated, it is generally acknowledged that obesity itself is an independent risk factor associated with disturbances in sex-steroid metabolism,\(^15\) insulin resistance,\(^10\) and menstrual irregularities.\(^16\) Obesity in PCOS, however, exacerbates the reproductive, metabolic, and psychological effects of excessive weight, and thus optimal weight-loss guidelines in the management of PCOS are of crucial importance.

Obese women with PCOS face additional barriers in achieving weight loss when compared to healthy women without this condition. This is postulated to be due to either the observed decrease in postprandial thermogenesis,\(^17\) which is also correlated with the degree of reduced insulin sensitivity,\(^18\) or to specific eating behaviors (eg, emotional eating) and snacking patterns (cravings for high-caloric sweet and savory snacks) that are observed among overweight/obese women with PCOS.\(^19\) The first-line treatment for PCOS includes diet and lifestyle interventions\(^20\) to promote a healthy body weight, especially in cases of overweight and obese patients. In these cases, even a modest weight loss of 2%–5% total body weight may restore ovulation,\(^21\)–\(^25\) improve the reproductive hormonal profile,\(^24\)–\(^28\) and achieve an improvement in insulin sensitivity.\(^23\)\(^,\)\(^26\)–\(^29\)

There is still, however, much debate over what is considered to be the optimal weight-loss strategy in PCOS, resulting in a lack of evidence-based advice given by dietitians.\(^30\) Interestingly, Jeanes et al\(^31\) investigated the dietary recommendations for PCOS patients given by UK dietitians, and found little difference from that given to non-PCOS subjects, despite the known difficulty among PCOS patients to lose weight.

In a systematic review by Nicholson et al,\(^32\) the efficacy of long-term (≥12 months) nonsurgical weight-loss interventions were presented, and behavioral strategies for obese women with PCOS in a systematic review were identified. It was concluded that there was not enough evidence to suggest an ideal weight-loss approach in patients with PCOS. Although many different dietary approaches have been investigated, data on the effectiveness of very low-calorie diets (VLCDs) on PCOS are still limited.

A VLCD can be defined as a nutrition plan that provides ≤800 kcal per day,\(^33\) and it is comprised of either conventional meals or synthetic formulas, eg, shakes, soups, or bars, or a combination of both. However, it has been also mentioned by Mulholland et al,\(^34\) modern VLCDs should not be confused with the ones used in the 1970s, the latter being associated with several deaths, mainly due to the inclusion of poor-quality protein and the inadequate provision of vitamins and minerals.\(^35\)\(^,\)\(^36\) Modern commercial VLCDs take into consideration the maintenance of lean body mass by fortification of the formulae with high levels of good-quality protein and the inclusion of essential electrolytes (sodium, potassium, bicarbonate, chloride, calcium, phosphates), fatty acids, minerals, and vitamins.\(^37\) This approach is safe, and according to the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence,\(^38\) it can be used for up to 3 months continuously for obese patients who fail to meet their weight-loss target using a standard low-fat, calorie-deficient diet (CDD).

A VLCD can lead to an average weekly weight loss of 1.5–2.5 kg vs the 0.4–0.5 kg loss achieved with the CDDs.\(^33\) Moreover, the average weight loss at 12 weeks for an obese individual is approximately 20 kg on a VLCD, whereas it is only 8 kg on a CDD.\(^33\) Consequently, a VLCD could be a good alternative for obese PCOS patients – both in the short and longer term – who may face difficulties losing weight despite following standard approaches. For example, Tolino et al\(^39\) showed that weight loss achieved after 4 weeks of a VLCD may lead to a decrease of free testosterone and fasting insulin levels and induce further improvement in menstruation. Van Dam et al\(^39\) carried out an interesting study to investigate the effect of short-term dieting in luteinizing hormone (LH) homeostasis in obese patients with PCOS. According to the observations, both basal and pulsatile secretion of LH were three times higher in PCOS patients when compared to matched individual without PCOS, implying that caloric restriction failed to normalize the LH secretion. On the contrary, total testosterone, glucose, and insulin levels were decreased. In another study, the same researchers\(^40\) examined 15 obese participants with PCOS. Patients were studied at baseline (occasion 1), after 1 week of a VLCD (occasion 2), and then after achieving 10% weight loss via a VLCD (occasion 3). Results suggested that after the weight
loss achieved, the estradiol-dependent negative feedback on LH was normalized and led to resumption of ovulation.

Overall, although there is evidence supporting the efficacy of VLCDs, for obese patients who fail to meet their weight-loss target using a standard CDD, this approach has not been adequately investigated in the area of PCOS, and both short- and longer-term data are still needed.

The aim of this retrospective analysis was to assess the change in weight in individuals with and without PCOS during a 12-week course of a commercial VLCD complemented by a group-based behavior-change program (LighterLife Total).

Materials and methods
Source of data
For this retrospective analysis, data were included from women aged 18–75 years with body mass index (BMI) \( \geq 28 \) kg/m\(^2\) who followed the LighterLife Total approach from January 2006 until December 2011. Ethical permission for this analysis was obtained from the Robert Gordon University Ethics Committee.

Study population
Study participants were self-referred onto the VLCD program. Prior to commencement of their weight loss, their health and fitness to undertake the weight-loss program was assessed using a standardized form provided by LighterLife. Participants were excluded from taking part in the LighterLife Total program, and consequently from the study as well, if they met any of the following criteria: type 1 diabetes; porphyria; total lactase intolerance; major cardiovascular or cerebrovascular disease; history of renal disorder or hepatic disease; active cancer; epilepsy, seizures, convulsions, major depressive disorder, psychotic episodes, schizophrenia, bipolar disorders, or delusional disorders; current suffering from anorexia, bulimia, or undergoing treatment for any other eating disorder; pregnant or breastfeeding; had given birth or had a miscarriage in the last 3 months.

From a total sample of 102,610 LighterLife participants, the PCOS participants (\( n = 508 \)) were matched for age (age ± 1 unit) and BMI (BMI ± 1 unit) with the non-PCOS participants (\( n = 508 \)) for analysis of 12-week weight-loss data. Baseline demographics and 12-week changes in weight and blood pressure were compared for the two groups.

Weight-loss program
For those individuals who were eligible, the intervention was carried out within a community-based setting. Appointments and treatments were managed by lay (but trained behavior-change) facilitators. The intervention used was a commercial weight-management program (LighterLife Total). This is a tripartite approach for individuals with BMI \( \geq 30 \) kg/m\(^2\), comprising a VLCD and group support along with behavior therapy. The program aims to achieve weight loss and to identify personal psychological motivation for overconsumption, thereby enabling participants to develop robust strategies for more successful weight management in the future.

Diet and nutrition
The VLCD provides an average daily intake of 600 kcal (50 g protein, 50 g carbohydrate, mean 17 g fat, ie, 36% energy from protein, 36% carbohydrate, and 28% fat) in the form of food packs (soups, shakes, textured meals, and bars) that contain \( \geq 100\% \) recommended daily allowances for vitamins and minerals, including vitamins A, C, D, E, K, thiamine, riboflavin, niacin, B\(_6\), B\(_{12}\), folic acid, biotin, pantothenic acid, calcium, phosphorus, iron, zinc, magnesium, iodine, potassium, sodium, copper, manganese, selenium, molybdenum, chromium, chloride, and fluoride. Clients were also able to purchase an ancillary “fiber mix” to add to their water, which contained inulin as the source of fiber.

Participants undertook the VLCD alongside a unique behavior-change program developed specifically for weight management in the obese. This is informed by concepts from cognitive behavioral therapy and transactional analysis (transactional cognitive behavioral therapy) and addiction/ change theory.\(^{41-43} \) It is delivered in small, single-sex, weekly groups by weight-management counselors who are specifically trained in the facilitation of behavior change for the treatment of obesity.

Measurements
Measurements of height and weight took place in LighterLife centers, and were carried out by facilitators who had been trained and provided with protocols developed within LighterLife. The actual types of equipment used for these measurements were not recorded. Measurements were taken during weekly meetings that occurred at the same location and time each week.

Statistical analysis
Analysis of the individual parameters was performed by the use of descriptive statistics, and all variables were assessed for normality. Differences of continuous variables between groups were assessed using an unpaired two-tailed t-test, and differences within groups were assessed using a paired
If more than two groups were compared, analysis of variance with a Bonferroni post hoc test was used. For categorical outcomes, a $\chi^2$ analysis was used. All $P$-values were two-sided, and a $P$-value of 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Analyses were performed with SPSS software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

**Power**

The sample size (508 per group) for this retrospective analysis provided a power greater than 88% to detect a minimum difference of 0.2 kg in weight change between the PCOS and non-PCOS groups.

**Results**

The 12-week analysis included both a baseline observation carried forward (BOCF) ($n=508$ for PCOS and $n=508$ for non-PCOS) and a completers ($n=137$ for PCOS and $n=137$ for non-PCOS) analysis.

**BOCF analysis**

There were no differences between the PCOS and non-PCOS women in age ($34.5\pm8.2$ vs $34.5\pm8.2$ years, $P=0.948$), height ($1.65\pm0.1$ m vs $1.65\pm0.1$ m, $P=0.937$), weight ($105.4\pm18.9$ kg vs $105.3\pm19.0$ kg, $P=0.892$), and BMI ($38.9\pm6.4$ kg/m$^2$ vs $38.8\pm6.4$ kg/m$^2$, $P=0.831$), as they were all matched at baseline. Weekly weight loss was similar for both groups, with no statistically significant differences (Figure 1).

After 12 weeks of the VLCD, there was a significant weight reduction within each group when compared to baseline PCOS ($105.4\pm18.9$ kg vs $95.0\pm19.1$ kg, $P<0.001$) and non-PCOS ($105.3\pm19.0$ kg vs $94.9\pm19.5$ kg, $P<0.001$). There was also a significant change in BMI compared to baseline PCOS ($38.9\pm6.4$ kg/m$^2$ vs $35.0\pm6.6$ kg/m$^2$, $P<0.001$) and non-PCOS: ($38.8\pm6.4$ kg/m$^2$ vs $35.0\pm6.8$ kg/m$^2$, $P<0.001$). Total weight change did not differ significantly between the PCOS group and the non-PCOS group ($-10.4\pm10.6$ vs $-10.4\pm10.4$ kg, $P=0.938$), and both of the groups achieved approximately 10% weight loss after the 12-week VLCD approach ($PCOS-9.7\%\pm9.4\%$ vs non-PCOS $-9.7\%\pm9.7\%$, $P=0.965$).

Table 1 shows the changes in systolic and diastolic blood pressure between the PCOS and non-PCOS groups after the 12-week VLCD period. As can be seen from the table, although the baseline systolic blood pressure was similar for both PCOS and non-PCOS participants (PCOS $127.4\pm12.5$ mmHg vs non-PCOS $127.0\pm14.0$ mmHg, $P=0.598$), the reduction observed after 12 weeks of VLCD was greater in the PCOS group (PCOS $-5.5\pm6.1$ mmHg vs non-PCOS $-0.9\pm6.1$ mmHg, $P<0.001$). With regard to diastolic blood pressure, although at baseline women with PCOS had greater values than non-PCOS (PCOS $81.5\pm10.2$ mmHg vs non-PCOS $79.9\pm9.7$ mmHg, $P=0.014$), after 12 weeks of VLCD both groups had similar values (PCOS $80.8\pm16.1$ mmHg vs non-PCOS $79.4\pm9.9$ mmHg, $P=0.206$).

**Completers analysis**

As can be seen in Table 2, there were no differences between the PCOS and non-PCOS women in age ($35.7\pm8.9$ years vs $35.8\pm8.9$ years, $P=0.946$), height ($1.65\pm0.1$ m vs $1.64\pm0.1$ m, $P=0.212$), weight ($108.3\pm18.1$ kg vs $107.4\pm19.8$ kg, $P=0.713$), or BMI ($40.0\pm6.3$ kg/m$^2$ vs $40.0\pm6.3$ kg/m$^2$).

![Figure 1](image_url) Weekly weight change for participants with and without PCOS (12-week BOCF, $n=508$ for each group).

Abbreviations: PCOS, polycystic ovary syndrome; BOCF, baseline observation carried forward.
**Table 1** Comparison of systolic and diastolic blood pressure between the PCOS and non-PCOS participants at baseline and 12 weeks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>PCOS (n=504)</th>
<th>Non-PCOS (n=507)</th>
<th>P*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Baseline systolic BP</td>
<td>127.6±12.5</td>
<td>127.0±14.0</td>
<td>0.598</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline diastolic BP</td>
<td>81.5±10.2</td>
<td>79.9±9.7</td>
<td>0.014***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 12 systolic BP</td>
<td>121.9±20.3</td>
<td>126.1±14.5</td>
<td>0.506</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 12 diastolic BP</td>
<td>80.8±16.1</td>
<td>79.4±9.9</td>
<td>0.206</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in systolic BP</td>
<td>−5.5±6.1</td>
<td>−0.9±6.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.001***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in diastolic BP</td>
<td>−0.4±5.1</td>
<td>−0.6±4.4</td>
<td>0.517</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: *Significance level of the difference between PCOS and non-PCOS groups, calculated using an independent t-test; **significant difference between the two groups (P<0.05), calculated using an independent t-test; ***P<0.001. Values are means ± standard deviation. Week 12 diastolic BP was adjusted for baseline difference using analysis of covariance.

Abbreviations: PCOS, polycystic ovary syndrome; BP, blood pressure.

Weekly weight loss was similar for both groups, with no significant statistical differences (Figure 2).

After 12 weeks of the VLCD, there was a significant weight reduction within both groups when compared to baseline PCOS (108.3±18.1 kg vs 89.8±16.7 kg, P<0.001) and non-PCOS (107.4±19.8 kg vs 88.0±17.6 kg, P<0.001). There was also a significant change in BMI compared to baseline PCOS (40.0±6.4 kg/m² vs 33.2±6.0 kg/m², P<0.001) and non-PCOS (40.0±6.3 kg/m² vs 32.8±5.7 kg/m², P<0.001). Total weight change did not differ significantly between the PCOS group and the non-PCOS group (≈18.5±6.6 kg vs −19.4±5.7 kg, P=0.190), and the percentage of weight loss achieved by PCOS women was 17.1%±5.6% vs 18.2%±4.4% by the non-PCOS group (P=0.08). Moreover, no significant differences in weekly weight change between the two groups were identified (Figure 2).

Table 3 shows the blood pressure values at baseline and after 12 weeks of VLCD. As can be seen from the table, baseline systolic blood pressure was lower for the PCOS group compared to the non-PCOS group (PCOS 127.2±12.4 mmHg vs non-PCOS 130.5±13.9 mmHg, P=0.014); however, there were no differences after 12 weeks of the VLCD (PCOS 119.1±13.6 mmHg vs non-PCOS 119.4±13.8 mmHg, P=0.800). With regard to diastolic blood pressure, it was similar for the PCOS and non-PCOS groups, both at baseline (PCOS 81.3±9.8 mmHg vs non-PCOS 80.5±9.6 mmHg, P=0.598) and after 12 weeks of the VLCD (PCOS 77.5±11.6 mmHg vs non-PCOS 74.9±10.2 mmHg, P=0.237).

**Discussion**

One of the biggest health problems globally is the increasing prevalence of obesity. According to the National Infertility Group Report, half of the women of reproductive age are either overweight or obese, and it is known that increased weight, and in particular central obesity, plays an important role in the development of PCOS. Although the first-line treatment in overweight and obese women with PCOS is weight loss through lifestyle interventions, the complexity and heterogeneity of this syndrome in conjunction with the lack of robust large scale randomized clinical trials lead to lack of consensus over the optimal dietary guidelines to achieve that goal.

In this paper, we attempted to investigate the effect of the LighterLife Total VLCD program on weight loss in overweight and obese women with PCOS compared to age- and BMI-matched non-PCOS participants. It is generally proposed that as little as 5% weight loss can improve fertility outcomes. In this study, PCOS (completers) achieved similar weight reduction with the non-PCOS (completers) group, which was more than three times higher than the minimum suggested weight loss: 17.1%±5.6% by the PCOS vs 18.2%±4.4% by the non-PCOS group (P=0.08) after 12 weeks of VLCD in conjunction with group counseling. The BOCF analysis showed more conservative results (PCOS −9.7%±9.4% vs non-PCOS −9.7%±9.7%, P=0.965), although nevertheless promising. Moreover, in both completers and BOCF analysis, women with PCOS lost weight at the same rate as non-PCOS women. This is in contrast with a number of papers which mentioned that women with PCOS may face difficulties in achieving weight loss either due to consequent metabolic issues or the emotional distress that accompanies this disorder, and predisposes towards increased energy consumption. For example, it has been suggested that women with PCOS may have reduced postprandial thermogenesis associated with reduced insulin sensitivity, a factor that could influence the weight loss. In addition, adjusted basal metabolic rate appears to be statistically significantly lower in women with PCOS, and is more evident in women with both PCOS and insulin resistance. Interestingly, similar observations have been made with regard to patients with...
type 2 diabetes. Moreover, PCOS patients very often have deranged appetite regulation due to reduced postprandial cholecystokinin (CCK) secretion compared to control age- and BMI-matched individuals without PCOS. However, in cases where weight reduction was achieved via a ketogenic diet, the levels of CCK maintained similar concentrations with the ones before the weight loss. This could suggest that due to the anorexogenic effect of ketosis, the levels of CCK were normalised among the PCOS patients, thus similar weight loss was achieved between the PCOS and non-PCOS group, as also shown by our analysis.

While improvements in weight and BMI have been reported by other researchers using variable dietary approaches, these lacked a control group, whereas in the present study, women without PCOS acted as the control group and were also matched for age and BMI for further analysis. Moreover, this is the only study in the area of PCOS and VLCDs that incorporated the counseling component. Although the effect of counseling per se was not assessed, it is known that the element of behavioral change not only can enhance weight loss but may also improve the completion rates of weight-loss programs. This support is of extreme importance among PCOS patients, where higher incidences of depressive and anxiety disorders may predispose them toward unhealthy eating behaviors.

Furthermore, several studies have suggested that there is an increased prevalence of hypertension among women with PCOS compared to the general population, irrespective of their weight. On the other hand, Luque-Ramírez et al support the view that high blood pressure is only observed among overweight and obese women with PCOS. In our study, women with PCOS presented with higher diastolic pressure at baseline, but after 12 weeks of the VLCD, both systolic and diastolic pressure were at similar levels with their non-PCOS counterparts. This interesting finding could imply that in cases of obese women with PCOS, weight loss could contribute to normalization of blood pressure levels. Nevertheless, further research needs to be done, including 24-hour ambulatory blood pressure monitoring as well as assessment of medication intake and hormonal profile, both at baseline and after the end of the VLCD program.

The main strength of the current study is that this is the largest investigation to date of a commercial VLCD program, LighterLife Total, on weight loss in overweight/obese women with PCOS compared to overweight/obese women without this syndrome. Although the gold standard in evaluating

---

**Table 3** Values for blood pressure and pulse between the PCOS and non-PCOS groups at baseline and 12 weeks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>PCOS</th>
<th>Non-PCOS</th>
<th>(P^*)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Baseline systolic BP (mmHg)</td>
<td>127.2±12.4</td>
<td>130.5±13.9</td>
<td>0.014***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline diastolic BP (mmHg)</td>
<td>81.3±9.8</td>
<td>80.5±9.6</td>
<td>0.598</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 12 systolic BP (mmHg)</td>
<td>119.1±13.6</td>
<td>119.4±13.8</td>
<td>0.800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 12 diastolic BP (mmHg)</td>
<td>77.5±11.6</td>
<td>74.9±10.2</td>
<td>0.237</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in systolic BP (mmHg)</td>
<td>-6.8±17.1</td>
<td>-8.7±17.1</td>
<td>0.567</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in diastolic BP (mmHg)</td>
<td>-3.9±12.7</td>
<td>-5.6±12.8</td>
<td>0.455</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:** *Significance level of the difference between PCOS and non-PCOS groups, calculated using an independent t-test; **significant difference between the two groups \(P<0.05\), calculated using an independent t-test. For baseline systolic and diastolic BP, \(n=137\) for both the PCOS and non-PCOS group. For all the other parameters, \(n=57\) for PCOS and \(n=49\) for non-PCOS. Values are means ± standard deviation.

**Abbreviations:** PCOS, polycystic ovary syndrome; BP, blood pressure.
health care interventions is carefully designed, conducted, and reported randomized controlled trials, our population-based retrospective analysis assessed the effectiveness of a commercial VLCD program in conjunction with weekly counseling group sessions, as it runs for real clients who joined the LighterLife Total program.

The limitations encountered are mainly attributed to the retrospective design of this research. For example, there was no information on the criteria used for diagnosis of PCOS, and it is highly possible that each of the PCOS women had been diagnosed by a different doctor. However, Rolland et al. reported that there was no difference in weight loss between diabetes and nondiabetes participants when they followed the LighterLife Total program, despite the lack of discrepancy in relation to diagnosis of type 2 diabetes. Another potential bias could be financial status, as only women who can afford the weekly costs of this commercial program (approximately £65 per week) were included. Moreover, due to the small numbers encountered in some of the ethnic groups, comparisons between the different ethnic categories encountered could not be performed. In addition, information on medication or potential changes in insulin sensitivity, glycemia, and reproductive phenotype was not assessed.

Conclusion
PCOS is a complex condition, with diverse implications that include reproductive, metabolic, and psychological comorbidities. Its clinical management should focus on lifestyle changes with medical therapy when required, as well as providing patients with support and nutritional education. However, evidence-based guidelines are still needed to guide clinicians and patients in optimal dietary management of this syndrome. The present retrospective analysis suggests that women with PCOS lose the same amount of weight and at the same rate as non-PCOS women after following a commercial VLCD program for 12 weeks in conjunction with group-counseling sessions. Consequently, this approach could be considered an effective alternative to standard LCDs, especially in cases where difficulties in achieving weight loss are encountered.
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